



PATENT

NS-US045020

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Attn: Mail Stop Amendment

Chinmoy PAL et al.

Patent Art Unit: 3636

Serial No. 10/790,227

Examiner Rodney B. White

Filed: March 2, 2004

For: VEHICLE HEADREST APPARATUS

THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a Response in the above-identified application:

☒ No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

CLAIMS					SMALL ENTITY		OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY	
REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		PRESENT EXTRA		RATE	ADDIT. FEE	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL 20	- 20	=	0		x 25 =	\$	x 50 =	\$
INDEP 2	- 3	=	0		x 100 =	\$	x 200 =	\$
[ ] 1ST PRESENTATION OF MULT. DEP. CLAIM					+ 180 =	\$	+ 360 =	\$
					TOTAL	\$	TOTAL	\$

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1836. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

☒ Any additional excess claim fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16.

☒ Any additional patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.17.

Dated: 2-24-06

David L. Tarnoff  
Reg. No. 32,383

SHINJYU GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP  
1233 Twentieth Street, NW, Suite 700  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202) 293-0444



NS-US045020

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of	:	<b>Attn: Mail Stop Amendment</b>
Chinmoy PAL et al.	:	Patent Art Unit: 3636
Serial No. 10/790,227	:	Examiner Rodney B. White
Filed: March 2, 2004	:	
For: VEHICLE HEADREST APPARATUS	:	

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §1.111**

Assistant Commissioner of Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

In response to the December 21, 2005 Office Action, conducted an interview with Examiner White who agree to amend the claims by Examiner's Amendment. This makes of record the interview with Examiner White.

**REMARKS**

In the December 21, 2005 Office Action, claims 1 and 20 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, while claims 2-4, 10 and 13-19 were indicated as containing allowable subject matter. No other objections or rejections were made in the Office Action.

***Interview Summary***

On February 22, 2006, the undersigned Applicants' representative conducted an interview with Examiner White, who is in charge of the above-identified patent application. Applicants wish to thank Examiner White for the opportunity to discuss the above-identified patent application during the Interview of February 22, 2006.